Fingerprints of Galactic Loop I on the Cosmic Microwave Background

Philipp Mertsch with Hao Liu & Subir Sarkar

COSMO2014

25 August 2014

Stanford University



CMB foreground removal





Before and after



CMB contamination at high latitude?



correlation between Faraday depth and WMAP7 ILC

MC simulations: standard deviation of correlation anomalous with p-value $< 5 \times 10^{-4}$

Hansen *et al.*, MNRAS **426** (2012) 57; Dineen & Coles MNRAS **347** (2004) 52

Radio loops



- probably shells of old SNRs
- can only observe 4 (5) radio loops directly in radio maps
- total Galactic population of up to O(1000) can contribute on *all* scales



Modelling the APS @ 408 MHz





<u>synchrotron:</u> smooth emissivity *and* turbulence

<u>free-free:</u> WMAP MEM-template

unsubtracted sources: shot noise

Modelling individual shells

Mertsch & Sarkar, JCAP 06 (2013) 041

assumption: flux from one shell factorises into angular part and frequency part: $J_{\text{shell }i}(\nu, \ell, b) = \varepsilon_i(\nu)g_i(\ell, b)$



frequency part $\varepsilon_i(\nu)$:

magnetic field gets compressed in SNR shell electrons get betatron accelerated emissivity increased with respect to ISM

angular part $g_i(\ell, b)$:

assume constant emissivity in thin shell:

$$a_{lm}^{i}' \sim \varepsilon_i(\nu) \int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{d}z' P_l(z') g_i(z')$$



Modelling individual shells

Mertsch & Sarkar, JCAP 06 (2013) 041

assumption: flux from one shell factorises into angular part and frequency part: $J_{\text{shell }i}(\nu, \ell, b) = \varepsilon_i(\nu)g_i(\ell, b)$



frequency part $\varepsilon_i(\nu)$:

magnetic field gets compressed in SNR shell electrons get betatron accelerated emissivity increased with respect to ISM

angular part $g_i(\cos\psi)$:

assume constant emissivity in thin shell:

$$a_{lm}^{i}' \sim \varepsilon_i(\nu) \int_{-1}^1 \mathrm{d}z' P_l(z') g_i(z')$$

add up contribution from all shells

$$a_{lm}^{\text{total}} = \sum_{i} a_{lm}^{i}$$



...including ensemble of shells





O(1000) shells of old SNRs present in Galaxy

we know 4 local shells (Loop I-IV) but others are modeled in MC approach

they contribute *exactly* in the right multipole

Best fit of local shells and ensemble





O(1000) shells of old SNRs present in Galaxy

we know 4 local shells (Loop I-IV) but others are modeled in MC approach

they contribute *exactly* in the right multipole



<u>Anomalies in ILC9 (ℓ≤20)</u>



-128 Liu, Mertsch & Sarkar, ApJL **789** (2014) 29





Anomalies in ILC9 (ℓ≤20)





<u>Anomalies in ILC9 (ℓ≤20)</u>



 $T(\mu K)$

128



Anomalies in ILC9 (ℓ≤20)

in ring around Loop I



Cluster analysis

Naselsky & Novikov, ApJ. **444** (1995) 1



from 100,000 MC runs: probability for smaller $\langle G \rangle$ in last four bins $\sim 10^{-4}$

How to evade foreground cleaning:

- ILC coefficients from minimizing variance over whole sky (Ω_{rest})
- but Loops contribute only locally ($\Omega_{\text{Loop I}}$)



ILC coefficients from Loop I region



ILC coefficients from rest of sky







50

-50 T(μK) Liu, Mertsch & Sarkar, ApJL **789** (2014) 29

What do we know about anomaly?

- spatially correlates with Loop I
- unlikely synchrotron (checked with our synchrotron model)
- <u>frequency dependence</u>:

which spectral index β gets "zeroed" by ILC method, i.e. solve $\sum_{j=K}^{W} W_j \nu_j^{\beta} = 0$ for β for WMAP9: $\beta \sim -3$, -2 and $1.7 \dots 1.8$ synch free-free thermal dust

for Loop region: $\beta \sim -3$ and ~ 1.4

Spectral index



- WMAP polarised intensity in
 - W (60 GHz)
 - V (90 GHz)
- correlate with ILC9
- ratio of average intensities in Loop I region: 1.7
- spectral index: ~1.3



Evidence for magnetised dust I

- correlation $\alpha_{353}(\nu)$ of WMAP and *Planck* frequency maps with dust template (353 GHz) in intensity and polarisation
- model as
 - CMB: achromatic
 - synchrotron: $A_s \nu^{\beta_s}$
 - thermal dust: $A_d \nu^{\beta_d} B(\nu, T_d)$
 - AME: spinning dust
- in intensity: $T_d\simeq 19\,{
 m K}$ and $\beta_d\simeq 1.52$ (cf. in FIR, $\beta_d\sim 1.7$)
- possible interpretation: magnetised dust, BB spectrum
- → 7σ evidence for magnetised dust?!



Ade et al., arXiv:1405.0874

Evidence for magnetised dust II



Draine & Hensley, ApJ **757** (2012) 103

Magnetic dipole radiation



Draine & Lazarian, ApJ **508** (1998) 157, *ibid.*, ApJ **512** (1999) 740 Draine & Hensley, ApJ **765** (2013) 169

Significance for cosmology

temperature anisotropies

- observed loops contribute mostly at $\ell \lesssim 100$
- → no impact at large ℓ ?
- low- ℓ anomalies (power deficit, $\ell=2$, $\ell=2,3$ alignment, parity asymmetry)
- CMB power even lower than observed?!

polarisation

- not a power law in ℓ
- dangerous frequency behaviour: BB!
- possibility of small-scale turbulence in loops → variation of polarisation fraction and angle
- none of the "dust models" covers this



Best fit of local shells and ensemble





O(1000) shells of old SNRs present in Galaxy

we know 4 local shells (Loop I-IV) but others are modeled in MC approach

they contribute *exactly* in the right multipole

Significance for cosmology

temperature anisotropies

- observed loops contribute mostly at $\ell \lesssim 100$
- → no impact at large ℓ ?
- low- ℓ anomalies (power deficit, $\ell=2$, $\ell=2,3$ alignment, parity asymmetry)
- CMB power even lower than observed?!

polarisation

- not a power law in ℓ
- dangerous frequency behaviour: BB!
- possibility of small-scale turbulence in loops → variation of polarisation fraction and angle
- none of the "dust models" covers this





polarisation (1.4 and 23 GHz)

polarisation angle

BICEP2 variance-weight map & loops



Conlcusion



<u>radioloops</u> efficiently modelled in angular power spectrum



contamination in CMB maps anomalous temperature & clustering magnetised dust?



Wolleben's "New Loop" potentially high polarisation fraction, potentially low spectral index