Prospects of Determination of Thermal History After Inflation with DECIGO

Space-baced Laser Interferoeter

Jun'ichi Yokoyama (Tokyo)



with S. Kuroyanagi and K. Nakayama

Detection of Primordial Tensor Perturbation from Inflation by BICEP2...

 $r \equiv \frac{\Delta_h^2}{\Delta_R^2} \quad \text{Tensor-to-scalar ratio measures the scale of inflation} \\ V[\phi] = (3.2 \times 10^{16} \text{GeV})^4 r = (7.5 \times 10^{15} \text{GeV})^4 \left(\frac{r}{0.003}\right)$

Higher Frequency Tensor Perturbation Carries Information on the Thermal History After Inflation

Why Gravitational Waves ?

We can probe another tiny dark age between inflation and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

Shedding new "lignt" on this epoch



Tensor Perturbations (Quantum Gravitational Waves)

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j} \quad h_{ij} = h_{+}\varepsilon_{ij}^{+} + h_{\times}\varepsilon_{ij}^{\times} \quad \text{transverse}_{\text{-traceless}}$$
They are equivalent with two massless scalar fields.

$$h_{ij}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\lambda = +, \times} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \epsilon_{ij}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{k}) h_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\lambda}(t) e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \quad \text{satisfies massless}_{\text{Klein-Gordon eqn}}$$

Quantization in De Sitter background yields nearly scale-invariant long-wave perturbations during inflation.

$$\Delta_h^2(k) = \langle h_{ij} h^{ij}(k) \rangle = 64\pi G \left(\frac{H(t_k)}{2\pi}\right)^2$$

Starobinsky (1979)

Evolution of gravitational waves in the inflationary Universe

- * Amplitude of GW is constant when its wavelength is longer than the Hubble radius between $t_{out}(f)$ and $t_{in}(f)$.
- * After entering the Hubble radius, the amplitude decreases as $\propto a^{-1}(t)$ and the energy density as $\propto a^{-4}(t)$.



When $a(t) \propto t^p$ (p < 1), the tensor perturbation evolves as

$$h(f,a) \propto a(t)^{\frac{1-3p}{2p}} J_{\frac{3p-1}{2(1-p)}} \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \frac{k}{a(t)H(t)} \right), \quad k = 2\pi f a(t_0)$$

Density parameter in GW per logarithmic frequency interval

$$\Omega_{GW}(f,t) = \frac{1}{\rho_{cr}(t)} \frac{d\rho_{GW}(f,t)}{d\ln f}$$

When the mode reentered the Hubble horizon at $t \equiv t_{in}(f)$, the angular frequency is equal to $\omega = H(t_{in}(f))$, so we find

$$\frac{d\rho_{GW}(f,t_{in}(f))}{d\ln f} = \frac{\omega^2}{32\pi G} h_{inf}^2(f) = \frac{H^2(t_{in}(f))}{32\pi G} h_{inf}^2(f) = \frac{1}{24} \rho_{cr}(t_{in}(f)) \Delta_h^2(f)$$

 $\Omega_{GW}(f,t_{in}(f)) = \frac{1}{24} \Delta_h^2(f)$

After entering the Hubble horizon,

$$\Omega_{GW}(f,t) = \frac{\rho_{GW,\ln f}(f,t)}{\rho_{tot}(t)} \propto a^{-4}(t)$$

$$\propto a^{-3(1+w)}(t)$$

- $w \equiv p / \rho_{tot}$
 - : equation of state in the early Universe

$$\Omega_{GW}(f,t) \approx \frac{1}{24} \Delta_h^2(f) \left(\frac{a(t_{in}(f))}{a(t)}\right)^{1-3w}$$

Radiation dominated era: constant Field oscillation dominated era: decreases $\propto a^{-1}(t)$

High frequency modes which entered the Hubble radius in the field oscillation regime acquires a suppression $\propto f^{-2}$.

We may determine the equation of state in the early Universe. We may determine thermal history of the early Universe. N. Seto & JY (03), Boyle & Steinhardt (08), Nakayama, Saito, Suwa, JY (08), Kuroyanagi et al (11)..

Thermal History is inprinted on the spectrum of GWs.



Conceptual design of DECIGO

DECihertz Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory

N. Seto, S. Kawamura, & T. Nakamura, PRL 87(2001)221103



Thermal History is inprinted on the spectrum of GWs.



In order to probe higher reheating temperature we need sufficient sensitivity at higher



$$\left\langle h_{ij}h^{ij}\right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ln f \Delta_h^2(f) T_h^2(f) = 2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df S_h^2(f) = 4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\ln f f S_h^2(f)$$

In order to probe higher frequency with the same sensitivity to Ω_{GW} ,



In order to probe higher frequency with the same sensitivity to Ω_{GW} ,



Lower thicker curves indicate sensitivity achieved by 3yr correlation analysis

On the basis of BICEP2 result, we reconsider sensitivity curves of DECIGO for direct detection of inflationary GW & determination of the reheating temperature.



In order to achieve sufficient sensitivity at higher frequency, it is important to suppress shot noise $\sqrt{21^{1/2}}$

$$S_{\rm shot}(f) = \frac{\sqrt{\hbar\pi c\lambda}}{4\mathcal{F}L\sqrt{\tilde{P}}} \left[1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}$$

$$f_c \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\tau_s} \approx \frac{c}{4FL}$$

But $F \nearrow L \nearrow$ would also lowers $f_c \searrow$ and the frequency range of our interest would fall above f_c where we find

$$S_{shot}(f) \cong \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \pi \lambda}{c \tilde{P}}} f^2$$

by $\lambda \searrow P \nearrow F \nearrow L \nearrow$.

Hence we can control the shot noise only by $\lambda \searrow P \nearrow$.

On the basis of BICEP2 result, we reconsider sensitivity curves of DECIGO for direct detection of inflationary GW & determination of the reheating temperature.



On the basis of BICEP2 result, we reconsider sensitivity curves of DECIGO for direct detection of inflationary GW & determination of the reheating temperature.





The original DECIGO does not have sufficient sensitivity to detect the stochastic GW background predicted by these models.

We determine maximum possible reheat temperature DECIGO can measure by Fisher matrix analysis for upgraded, $f_{max} = 2Hz$ and ultimate versions.

noises are assumed to be quantum limited.

Marginalized 1σ uncertainty in T_R as a fraction of T_R for quadratic chaotic inflation



Marginalized 1σ uncertainty in T_R as a fraction of T_R for natural inflation with $f = 7M_{Pl}$ T_R can be



DECIGO can measure the reheat temperature T_R if it lies in the range $5 \times 10^6 \text{GeV} < T_R < 2 \times 10^8 \text{GeV}$

The ultimate DECIGO can measure the reheat temperature T_R if it lies in the range $6 \times 10^4 \text{GeV} < T_R < 7 \times 10^8 \text{GeV}$ DECIGO can measure the reheat temperature T_R if it lies in the range $5 \times 10^6 \text{GeV} < T_R < 2 \times 10^8 \text{GeV}$

The ultimate DECIGO can measure the reheat temperature T_R if it lies in the range $6 \times 10^4 \text{GeV} < T_R < 7 \times 10^8 \text{GeV}$

One may naïvely think that high-scale inflation predicts high reheat temperature, and the upper bound we obtained is too low.

However, in order to realize high-scale inflation with a large rand a large field excursion $\phi_1 - \phi_e \gg M_{Pl}$ (Lyth-Turner Bound) we often introduce symmetries in model building Chaotic inflation: Shift symmetry (Kawasaki, Yamaguchi, Yanagida 00) Natural inflation: Nambu-Goldstone (Freese, Frieman, Olinto 90) which also constrain coupling of the inflaton and delay reheating. An example of Chaotic inflation in Supergravity

$$K = \frac{1}{2}(\phi + \phi^{\dagger})^{2} + |X|^{2} + |H_{u}|^{2} + |H_{d}|^{2},$$
$$W = mX\phi + yXH_{u}H_{d},$$

$$V[\phi] = \frac{1}{2}m^2 \left(\operatorname{Im} \phi\right)^2$$

 $\operatorname{Im} \phi$ has a shift symmetry and act as the inflaton. The Universe is reheated through Higgs bosons & Higgsinos.

$$T_R \simeq 4 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{y}{10^{-6}}\right) \text{ GeV}$$

(Nakayama, Takahashi, Yanagida 13)

 $y < 10^{-6}$ is required for the stability of the inflaton's trajectory.

The natural inflation model

$$V[\phi] = \Lambda^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right) \right]$$

$$\Gamma_{\phi} \approx g^{2} \frac{M^{3}}{f^{2}} \approx g^{2} \frac{\Lambda^{6}}{f^{5}} \implies T_{R} \approx 5 \times 10^{7} \left(\frac{g}{0.1}\right) \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for } f = 7M_{Pl}$$

$$M = \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{f} \qquad (\text{Freese, Frieman, Olinto 90})$$

Conclusion

BICEP2 may have determined when inflation took place.

DECIGO/BBO may be able to determine when Big Bang happened.

